UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,727	12/30/2003	Arnavkumar M. Pathan	CISCP360/320000	9062
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - CISC-ANDI Attn: CISC-ANDI P.O. Box 70250			EXAMINER	
			MEHRA, INDER P	
	oakland, CA 94512		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,727	PATHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	INDER P. MEHRA	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15-19,21-30 and 32-44 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15-19,21-30 and 32-44 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. e rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/2010.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendment dated: 11/18/2010. Based on this application, claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-19, 21-30 and 32-44 are pending. Claims 6, 14, 20, and 31 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 10, 32-34 and 37-38 are amended, and new claims 39-44 are added anew.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/182010 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,727

Art Unit: 2617

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9-13, 15, 21, 32-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorenbosch et al (US Pub. No. 2005/0048977), hereinafter, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan et al (US Pub. No. 2005/0070275), hereinafter, Jeyaseelan, and, further, in view of Kumaran et al (US Pub. Application No. 2002/0168983), henceforth, Kumaran.

Page 3

For claims 1, 10 and 32- 34 and 37-38, '977 teaches, a method ('977 teaches a method of triggering handover (roaming) from WLAN to WAN in a network refer to abstract and para: [0002] in reference to figs. 7-11; device or apparatus (SU 306, fig. 3), (see claims 34 and 37) comprising:

detecting by a network device a speed of the network device; ('977 teaches subscriber device detecting of speed, refer to paras: [abstract], and [0009] and;

instructions (see claim 32); (refer to '977 paragraph [0027]);

means for detecting speed, (see claim 33),('977 teaches accelerometer (means), refer to para [0010]);

means for ascertaining one or more threshold values, (see claim 33) ('977 teaches unit 306, para: [0009]);

means for roaming (see claim 33), ('977 teaches unit 306 for roaming triggering, para: [0042]);

ascertaining by the network device one or more threshold values corresponding to one or more trigger events and the detected speed of the network device from a profile configured at the network device, wherein the profile includes a plurality of sets of threshold values, each of the plurality of sets of threshold values including one or more threshold values corresponding to one

or more trigger events, wherein each of the plurality of sets of threshold values corresponds to a different set of one or more speeds of a plurality of speeds,

('977 teaches detecting a triggering event, which is the detection of a wireless local area network border cell (210), fig. 2, or a degradation in signal quality (same as ascertaining threshold value, such as signal strength or degradation of quality), refer to para: [0009] and abstract); wherein one or more threshold values have been configured at the network device ('977 teaches, if the speed and/or displacement of the device exceeds a predetermined threshold (predetermined threshold value is same as configured at the network device), refer to para: [0009]); (It is important to note that this routine is executed periodically which obviously generates and updates profile of values successively corresponding to speed and events, refer to para: [0037]. '977, further, teaches each of threshold values corresponding to different sets of speeds, and triggering events, refer to fig. 8 step 812 and fig. 9 step 912).

a processor and a memory (see claims 34 and 37), and computer readable medium storing instructions, see claim 32); ('977 teaches processor and memory, refer para: [0027]);

triggering roaming of the network device when one of the trigger values associated with one of the trigger events is equal to or exceeds one of the threshold values that corresponds to the one of the trigger events and the detected speed of the network device ('977 teaches degradation of quality level or detection of a wireless local area network border cell (210), fig. 2, (same as trigger values) when triggering event happens. Determines the speed and/or displacement of the device (306), and conducts any present and subsequent calls via the second wireless communication system if the speed and/or displacement of the device exceeds a predetermined threshold, refer to [abstract]).

'977 does not teach the following limitation, which is disclosed by Jeyaseelan, as follows:

ascertaining by the network device one or more trigger values associated with the one or more trigger events (Jeyaseelan teaches timer 104 (counter performs as roaming timer associated with events, paragraph 0020) at the expiry of which roaming starts (trigger value); and

Jeyaseelan discloses threshold values such as at least one of maximum data retry count (step 315, fig. 3), maximum number of beacons missed (step 305, fig. 3), maximum data rate shift (steps 325 and 335, fig. 3), or signal strength (step 345, fig. 3) may vary according to the speed of a mobile device, refer to fig. 3. This reads on following limitation:

wherein the threshold values include at least one of maximum data retry_ count, maximum number of beacons missed, or maximum data rate shift.

wherein the threshold values include minimum signal strength and wherein the trigger events include signal strength; (see claim 38), Jeyaseelan discloses threshold values such as, minimum strength threshold value is trigger event, refer to figs. 3-4 and para: [0037]

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of ascertaining one or more trigger values associated with one of the trigger events as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability was to improve handover and registration behavior between WLANs and WANs and battery life of a mobile device when a user was stationary in border cells.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,727 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

'977 in view of Jeyaseelan does not disclose following limitation, which is disclosed by Kumaran, as follows:

Kumaran discloses If the ratio of the relevant signal power level to the interference power level is below the predetermined threshold, the program 30 marks the call as bad and tries to hand off the call to a different angular sector/base station if the simulation supports handoffs (step 86), refer to para: [0057]. It means threshold values corresponding to triggering event depends on values that do not include one or more speeds, thus reading on following limitation:

"---wherein the threshold values do not include one or more speeds".

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the limitations, as taught by Kumaran. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability was to improve handover and registration behavior between WLANs and WANs dependent upon poor signal.

For claim 2, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches the following limitations:

"wherein the network device is a Mobile Node implemented in a 802.11 environment". ('977 teaches 802.11 environment for mobile device in WLAN network, refer to para: [0011]).

For claim 4, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977teaches the following limitations:

wherein roaming is triggered when the network device has reached or is nearing a perimeter of a coverage area of an Access Point to which the network device has associated or a Foreign Agent via which the network device has registered with its Home Agent ('977 teaches roaming is triggered when mobile device is in border cell, refer to [abstract]).

For claim 5, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches the following limitations:

wherein roaming is triggered when the network device is no longer within a coverage area of an Access Point to which the network device has associated or a Foreign Agent via which the network device has registered with its Home Agent ('977 teaches, Normally, before a user is leaving the WLAN coverage area 104, the user's SU first detects a border cell 210. The SU 106 starts the cellular stack and begins to register with the cellular network 102 upon detecting the border cell 210 information so that the call is handed over in an adequate timeframe. By the time the SU 106 is out of range of the WLAN coverage area 104, the call should be transferred to the WAN 102, refer to [0007]).

For claim 9, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1.

'977 does not teach following limitations which are disclosed by Jeyaseelan, as follows: wherein the trigger events include at least one of maximum data retry count is exceeded, maximum number of beacons missed (step 305, fig. 3) is exceeded, data rate shift, (Jeyaseelan teaches trigger event (roaming) includes data rate shift and bit error rate, steps 315 and 325, fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran with the capability of wherein the trigger events include at least one of maximum data retry count is exceeded, maximum number of beacons missed is exceeded, data rate shift, and signal strength (Jeyaseelan teaches trigger event (roaming) includes data rate shift and bit error rate, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine events as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability would have been to improve quality of communication based on roaming based on data rate shift, refer to abstract.

For claim 11, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10.

'977 does not teach following limitations which are disclosed by Jeyaseelan, as follows: wherein roaming is triggered when one of the trigger counters associated with the maximum data retry count is exceeded trigger event is equal to or exceeds the maximum data retry count trigger value, (steps 315, fig. 3).

Jeyaseelan teaches roaming when retries are high (exceeded) and roaming timer (counter) is exceeded, paragraphs 0025-0027), thus reading on above limitation:

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of counter associated with the maximum data ---is exceeded, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. The motivation for using this capability would have been to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, refer to para: [0005].

For claim 12, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10,

'977 does not teach the following limitation, which is taught by Jeyaseelan, as follows: wherein roaming is triggered when one of the trigger counters associated with the maximum number of beacons missed is exceeded trigger event is equal to or exceeds the maximum number of beacons missed trigger value (Jeyaseelan teaches roaming when beacons are missed and roaming timer set count is exceeded, steps 305, 310, paragraphs 0024-0025).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of wherein roaming is triggered when one of the trigger counters associated with the maximum number of beacons missed is exceeded trigger event is equal to or exceeds the maximum number of beacons missed trigger value, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device. Palmer suggested the methods to determine as to when the mobile wireless communication device should roam, refer to abstract

col. 1 lines12-16. The motivation for using this capability was to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, col. 1 lines 19-20 and col. 2 lines 30-35 of Palmer.

For claim 13, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10.

In addition, Jeyaseelan teaches the following limitations:

wherein roaming is triggered when one of the trigger values associated with the data rate shift trigger event is equal to or exceeds the maximum data rate shift threshold value. (refer to step 325, fig. 3, and para: [0028]).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran with the capability of wherein roaming is triggered when one of the trigger values associated with the data rate shift trigger event is equal to or exceeds the maximum data rate shift threshold value, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine events as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability was to improve quality of communication based on roaming based on rate shift, refer to abstract.

For claim 15, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10, as above,

In addition, '977 teaches the following limitations.

Art Unit: 2617

wherein detecting a speed of the network device is performed using a GPS ('977 teaches determining of speed using GPS chipset, para: [abstract]).

For claim 19, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches following limitations:

wherein when roaming is triggered, the network device is no longer within a coverage area of an Access Point servicing the network device, ('977 teaches, termination of running upon roaming outside coverage area of access point, the mobile terminal can no longer communicate that area through that particular access point, refer to paras: [0024-0026]).

For claim 21, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran discloses all limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10, above.

'977 does not teach the following limitation, which is disclosed by Jeyaseelan, as follows:

Modifying one or more threshold values in one of the plurality of sets of threshold values; (Jeyaseelan teaches modifying counter (timer) values) to mark new threshold, refer to para: [0019] and step 240, fig. 2), and steps 330, 340, 350, 360); Jeyaseelan teaches roaming timer may be set on any value based on criteria, or set to new value modifying values(which is one of current values)), paragraphs [0013] or [0019]). Jeyaseelan, further, teaches timer 104 (counter performs as roaming timer associated with events, paragraph 0020) at the expiry of which roaming starts (trigger value); and '977 teaches modifying counter (timer) values) to mark

new threshold, as new trigger values to trigger event, such as handover, refer to para: [0019] and step 240, fig. 2), and steps 330, 340, 350, 360);

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran by including limitation above, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine events as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability was to improve threshold values, refer to [abstract] of '977.

For claim 35, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches following limitations:

identifying one of the plurality of sets of threshold values that corresponds to a set of one or more speeds that includes the detected speed of the network device ('977 teaches handover event at a speed at predetermined level (first threshold or second threshold corresponding to speeds, refer to paras: [0037 and 0042]).

For claim 36, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches following limitations:

wherein each of the threshold values corresponds to a different one of the trigger events.

('977 teaches, if either the speed and/or displacement of the device 306 do not exceed a first predetermined threshold or the strength of the WAN signal is unacceptable (different one of trigger events), at step 806, then the registration sequence is aborted (the unit stops running the WAN stack 510 and hardware 410, 412) at step 810 and the process is exited, resulting in a current and/or future calls continuing to be placed via the WLAN system, refer to para:[0038]).

For claims 39, 40, 42 and 43, For claim 9, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1.

'977 does not teach following limitations which are disclosed by Jeyaseelan, as follows: wherein the trigger events include maximum data retry count is exceeded (paras: [0025-0029], step 315, fig. 5, maximum number of beacons missed (step 305, fig. 3) is exceeded, and data rate shift, (Jeyaseelan teaches trigger event (roaming) includes data rate shift and bit error rate, steps 315 and 325, fig. 3);

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of '977 with the capability of wherein the trigger events include at least one of maximum data retry count is exceeded, maximum number of beacons missed is exceeded, data rate shift, and signal strength, as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine events as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability would have been to improve quality of communication based on roaming based on data rate shift, the trigger events include maximum data retry count is exceeded, maximum number of beacons missed is exceeded, refer to abstract.

For claim 41, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 10, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches following limitations:

wherein the threshold values consist of minimum signal strength. ('977 discloses threshold value as signal strength refer to paras: [0037 and 0042]).

For claim 44, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above.

In addition, '977 teaches following limitations:

wherein each of the plurality of sets of threshold values corresponds to a different set of two or more speeds of a plurality of speeds. (first threshold or second threshold (set of threshold values) corresponding to speeds (two or more speeds), refer to paras: [0037 and 0042]).

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran and, further, in view of Naghian (US Pub. No. 2004/0077350), hereinafter, Naghian.

For claim 3, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Naghian:

wherein the network device is a Mobile Node supporting Mobile IP, (Naghian teaches, Mobile IP describes the basic operations needed for a mobile node to maintain its connectivity, refer to paragraph 0002).

Page 15

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran with the capability of the network device to have a Mobile Node supporting Mobile IP, as taught by Naghian. The capability could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to Palmer's col. 3 lines 2-4. The motivation for using this capability would have been to allow the people on the move during changing conditions during handover, col. 1 lines 19-20 and col. 2 lines 30-35 of Palmer.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and, further, in view of Tsai et al (US Pub. No. 2003/0064727), hereinafter, Tsai.

For claim 7, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Tsai.

wherein the rate at which the network device roams increases as the speed of the network device increases, (Tsai teaches increase in handover rate with the increase in speed of mobile station, refer to paragraph 0008).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified '977 in view of Jeyaseelan's system with the capability of wherein the rate at which the network device roams increases as the speed of the network device increases, as taught by Tsai. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards,

refer to '977' paragraph [0004]. Motivation for roaming is to have seamless integration between systems, refer to '977's para: [0004].

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran, further, in view of Yamashita (US Pub. No. 2003/0064729), hereinafter, Yamashita.

For claim 8, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kamaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 1, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Yamashita.

wherein the trigger values decrease as the speed of the network device increases, (Yamashita teaches degradation of signal to noise ratio (trigger value for roaming) according to speed increase of mobile terminal (network device), refer to paragraph 0019).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the capability of wherein the trigger values decrease as the speed of the network device increases as taught by Yamashita. The capability could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to '977 's para: 0012. The motivation for using this capability was to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, para: 0012.

9. Claims 16-18 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, as above, and, further, in view of Narayanan et al (US Pub. No. 2004/0229612), hereinafter, '612.

For claims 28 and 16, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 1 and 10, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by '612.

registering with a Home Agent via a new Foreign Agent using a new Access Point when roaming is triggered, wherein the Mobile Node is within a coverage area of the new Foreign Agent and the new Access Point ('612 teaches mobile node registering with Home Agent via Foreign Agent while within coverage area of Foreign Agent, refer to paragraph 0016). Note: FA which is a router, communicates with HA via local B/S or Access Point.

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of registering with a Home Agent via a new Foreign Agent using a new Access Point when roaming is triggered, wherein the Mobile Node is within a coverage area of the new Foreign Agent and the new Access Point, as taught by '612. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability was to allow the people on the move and register with second wireless communication system (which could be Home agent via foreign agent during changing conditions, refer to [Abstract]) of '977).

For claims 17 and 29, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10 and 16 for claim 17; and claims 1 and 28 for claim 29 respectively, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by '612.

de-registering with the Home Agent via a new Foreign Agent using a new Access Point, wherein the Mobile Node is no longer within a coverage area of the previous Foreign Agent. ('612 teaches mobile node registering with Home Agent via second Foreign Agent while moving away from first foreign agent to second foreign agent (no longer within a coverage area of the previous FA), refer to paragraph 0021 and step 216 in fig. 2. '612, further, teaches, home agent refreshes the state (de-registering with previous home agent and registering with new one) when mobile node moves to second FA, in order to de-register from first foreign agent, paragraph 0006)...

Page 18

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify system of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran to use the capability of de-registering with the Home Agent via a new Foreign Agent using a new Access Point, wherein the Mobile Node is no longer within a coverage area of the previous Foreign Agent, as taught by '612. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The motivation for using this capability would have been to allow the people on the move and register with second wireless communication system (which could be Home agent via foreign agent during changing conditions, refer to [Abstract]) of '977).

For claims 18 and 30, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claim 1, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by '612.

wherein the network device is a Mobile Node, and wherein when roaming is triggered, the Mobile Node is no longer within a coverage area of a Foreign Agent via which the mobile

node has registered with its Home Agent, ('612 teaches on handoff to second foreign agent, mobile node moves away from first foreign agent, where mobile node is registered with Home agent, to second foreign agent and communication link with first foreign agent is no longer operational, refer to paragraphs 0016-0018)...

Page 19

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of wherein the network device is a Mobile Node, and wherein when roaming is triggered, the Mobile Node is no longer within a coverage area of a Foreign Agent via which the mobile node has registered with its Home Agent, as taught by '612. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability would have been to allow the people on the move and register with second wireless communication system (which could be Home agent via foreign agent during changing conditions, refer to [Abstract]) of '977).

10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran and, further, in view of Seo et al (US Pat. No 7106783), hereinafter, Seo.

For claim 22, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 1 and 21 sequentially, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Seo.

multiplying the one or more trigger values by one or more multiplying factors, wherein each of the multiplying factors corresponds to the speed of the network device.

(Seo teaches multiplying at high speed pilot symbols and control symbols by corresponding weight (factor) to make handoff (trigger value event), refer to col. 3 lines 18-21).

Page 20

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of multiplying the one or more trigger values by one or more multiplying factors, wherein each of the multiplying factors corresponds to the speed of the network device., as taught by Seo. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability would have been to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, refer to fig. 3 of Jeyaseelan.

11. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran and Seo and further, in view of Tiedemann, JR. et al (US 2006/0094460), hereinafter, '460.

For claims 23-24, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10 and 21-22 sequentially, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by '460.

(As recited by claim 23), wherein each of the multiplying factors decreases the one or more trigger values when the speed of the network device increases.

(As recited by claim 24), wherein each of the multiplying factors increases the one or more trigger values when the speed of the network device decreases.

('460 teaches change (either increase or decrease) in value with the change (either decrease or increase) in velocity (speed) of mobile station), refer to paragraph 0057).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of wherein each of the multiplying factors decreases the one or more trigger values when the speed of the network device increases (see claim 23); and wherein each of the multiplying factors increases the one or more trigger values when the speed of the network device decreases (see claim 24), as taught by '460. The capabilities to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability was to facilitate during changing conditions, refer to fig. 3 of Jeyaseelan..

12. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Kumaran and Seo and further, in view of Murai (US 2005/0130662), hereinafter, Murai.

For claim 25, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan and Seo teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10 and 21-22 sequentially, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Murai.

ascertaining the multiplying factors corresponding to the speed of the network device. (Murai teaches determining (ascertaining) factors corresponding to the mobile station speed), refer to paragraph 0036).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of ascertaining the multiplying factors corresponding to the speed of the network device, as taught by Murai. The capabilities to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of

Art Unit: 2617

different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability was to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, refer to fig. 3 of Jeyaseelan.

13. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo and, further, in view of Kalhan et al (US Pub. No2004/0116133), hereinafter, Kalhan.

For claim 26, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10, 21 and 22 sequentially, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Kalhan.

wherein multiplying comprises: multiplying the one or more trigger values by a single multiplying factor, (Kalhan teaches, multiplying power level sample 304, fig. 6 (indicator or trigger value, as to when to exit coverage area, refer to abstract and paragraph 0064) by adjustment factor and to send exit control signal, refer to paragraph 0066).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified system of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo to use the limitations, as taught by Kalhan. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability was to allow the people on the move during changing conditions, refer to fig. 3 of Jeyaseelan.

For claim 27, '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo teaches all the limitations of subject matter, as applied to claims 10, 21 and 22 sequentially, as above, with the exception of the following limitations which are disclosed by Kalhan.

wherein multiplying comprises: multiplying each of the trigger values by a different multiplying factor, wherein the multiplying factor corresponds to the trigger event, (Kalhan teaches, multiplying power level sample 304, fig. 6 (indicator or trigger value, as to when to exit coverage area, refer to abstract and paragraph 0064) by adjustment factor and to send exit control signal (Trigger event), refer to paragraph 0066).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified system of '977 in view of Jeyaseelan, Kumaran and Seo to use the limitation, as taught by Kalhan. The capability to request handover could be implemented in mobile device. The suggestion to use this capability in changing environment of different standards, refer to abstract of '977. The motivation for using this capability was to cause handover at trigger events, refer to fig. 3 of Jeyaseelan.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/2010 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's argument

Applicant argues that Claims 1, 20, 32, 33, and 34 recite, "ascertaining one or more threshold values corresponding to one or more trigger events and the detected speed of the network device." Thus, the threshold values correspond to the detected speed of the network device. For example, as recited in claim 1, the threshold values may be obtained from a profile

Art Unit: 2617

that includes a plurality of sets of threshold values, where each of the sets of threshold values corresponds to a different set of one or more speeds of a plurality of speeds. ('977 teaches detecting a triggering event, which is the detection of a wireless local area network border cell (210), fig. 2, or a degradation in signal quality (same as ascertaining threshold value, such as signal strength or degradation of quality), refer to para: [0009] and abstract); wherein one or more threshold values have been configured at the network device ('977 teaches, if the speed and/or displacement of the device exceeds a predetermined threshold (predetermined threshold value is same as configured at the network device), refer to para: [0009]); (It is important to note that this routine is executed periodically which obviously generates profile of values corresponding to speed and events, refer to para: [0037]. '977, further, teaches each of threshold values corresponding to different sets of speeds, and triggering events, refer to fig. 8 step 812 and fig. 9 step 912). Paragraph [0037] cited by the Examiner discloses determining "if the speed and/or displacement information exceeds a predetermined threshold." The Examiner further refers to 812 of FIG. 8 and 912 of FIG. 9, which determine whether "speed/displacement greater than second threshold" if the speed and/or displacement of the device exceeds a first predetermined threshold and the signal strength of the WAN signal is still good. See e.g., paragraph [0038]. Thus, it appears that the "threshold" of Dorenbosch is merely a speed or "displacement." Nothing in Dorenbosch discloses or suggests that threshold values other than speeds correspond to a set of speeds. More particularly, nothing in Dorenbosch discloses or suggests that each of the sets of threshold values corresponds to a different set of one or more speeds of a plurality of speeds, where the threshold values include maximum data retry count, maximum number of beacons missed, maximum data rate shift, or signal strength, wherein the

threshold values do not include one or more speeds, as recited in claim 1, as amended. Similarly, nothing in Dorenbosch discloses or suggests wherein each of the plurality of sets of threshold values corresponds to but does not include a different set of one or more speeds of a plurality of speeds, as recited in claim 10, as amended. Nothing in the cited references, separately or in combination, discloses or suggests that threshold values such as maximum data retry count, maximum number of beacons missed, maximum data rate shift, or signal strength may vary according to the speed of a mobile device

In view of the deficiencies of the primary references discussed above, Applicant respectfully asserts that the combination of the cited references would fail to operate as claimed.

Examiner's response

In response, Examiner respectfully states that Claims 20 has been cancelled by Applicant, refer to 'Remarks', page 10 second paragraph. Therefore, arguments by Applicant regarding claims 20 are moot.

Examiner's Response

Examiner respectfully states that '977 teaches degradation of quality level or detection of a wireless local area network border cell (210), fig. 2, (same as trigger values) when triggering event happens. Determines the speed and/or displacement of the device (306), and conducts any present and subsequent calls via the second wireless communication system if the speed and/or displacement of the device exceeds a predetermined threshold, refer to [abstract].

Further, Jeyaseelan teaches timer 104 (counter performs as roaming timer associated with events, paragraph 0020) at the expiry of which roaming starts (trigger value); and

Page 26

Jeyaseelan discloses threshold values such as at least one of maximum data retry count (step 315, fig. 3), maximum number of beacons missed (step 305, fig. 3), maximum data rate shift (steps 325 and 335, fig. 3), or signal strength (step 345, fig. 3) may vary according to the speed of a mobile device, refer to fig. 3. This reads on following limitation:

wherein the threshold values include at least one of maximum data retry_count, maximum number of beacons missed, or maximum data rate shift.

wherein the threshold values include minimum signal strength and wherein the trigger events include signal strength; (see claim 38), Jeyaseelan discloses threshold values such as, minimum strength threshold value is trigger event, refer to figs. 3-4 and para: [0037]

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of ascertaining one or more trigger values associated with one of the trigger events as taught by Jeyaseelan. This could be implemented by wireless communication device of '977. '977 suggested the methods to determine as to when the mobile wireless communication device should handover, refer to abstract. The motivation for using this capability was to improve handover and registration behavior between WLANs and WANs and battery life of a mobile device when a user was stationary in border cells.

'977 in view of Jeyaseelan does not disclose following limitation, which is disclosed by Kumaran, as follows:

Kumaran discloses If the ratio of the relevant signal power level to the interference power level is below the predetermined threshold, the program 30 marks the call as bad and tries to hand off the call to a different angular sector/base station if the simulation supports handoffs

(step 86), refer to para: [0057]. It means threshold values corresponding to triggering event depends on values that do not include one or more speeds, thus reading on following limitation:

"---wherein the threshold values do not include one or more speeds".

Applicant's argument

Moreover, claim 21 recites, "modifying one or more threshold values" in a profile, as claimed. However, nothing in Dorenbosch or Jeyaseelan, separately or in combination, discloses or suggests modifying one or more threshold values in a profile configured at the network device, as claimed. Applicant respectfully asserts that the remaining references fail to cure the deficiencies of the primary references. Based on the foregoing, it is submitted that the independent claims are patentable over the cited references. In addition, it is submitted that the dependent claims are also patentable for at least the same reasons. The additional limitations recited in the independent claims or the dependent claims are not further-discussed as the above-discussed limitations are clearly sufficient to distinguish the claimed invention from the cited references. Thus, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of the claims under 35 usc §103.

Examiner's response

In response, Examiner respectfully states that Jeyaseelan teaches modifying counter (timer) values) to mark new threshold, refer to para: [0019] and step 240, fig. 2), and steps 330, 340, 350, 360); Jeyaseelan teaches roaming timer may be set on any value based on criteria, or set to new value modifying values(which is one of current values)), paragraphs [0013] or [0019]). Jeyaseelan, further, teaches timer 104 (counter performs as roaming timer associated with events, paragraph 0020) at the expiry of which roaming starts (trigger value); and '977

Application/Control Number: 10/749,727 Page 28

Art Unit: 2617

teaches modifying counter (timer) values) to mark new threshold, as new trigger values to trigger

event, such as handover, refer to para: [0019] and step 240, fig. 2), and steps 330, 340, 350, 360.

In light of above explanation, all arguments have been responded and are not persuasive.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to INDER P. MEHRA whose telephone number is (571)272-3170.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on 571-272-7023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Inder P Mehra/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617